Journal of Global Social Sciences **December 2024, Volume 5, Number 20, 65-75**
ISSN: Print 2735-9328, Online 2735-9336

ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF REGULATORY APPROACHES ON FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY (FinTech) SOLUTIONS IN NIGERIA

O. S. ALADEJUBELO,

Azteca University, Mexico, shinasamuel@gmail.com

S. A. ISHOLA, Ph.D, (CA)

Azteca University, Mexico, demolaishola72@gmail.com

S. O. BAMISAYE, Ph.D,

Azteca University, Mexico, Samuel_bamisaye@yahoo.com

Abstract

The financial technology (FinTech) sector in Nigeria has emerged as a transformative force in the country's financial services landscape, driving innovation, financial inclusion, and economic growth. However, the regulatory environment plays a crucial role in shaping the trajectory of this burgeoning sector. This paper assesses the impact of regulatory approaches on FinTech solutions in Nigeria by exploring key regulatory frameworks, challenges, and opportunities. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, including an analysis of regulatory policies and interviews with industry stakeholders. The findings indicate that while proactive regulation fosters innovation and investment, overregulation or regulatory uncertainty can stifle growth and innovation. Recommendations are provided to optimize the regulatory landscape for sustained FinTech growth in Nigeria.

Keywords: *FinTech, Regulatory Frameworks, Financial Inclusion, Innovation.*

DOI: 10.58934/jgss.v5i20.303

1. INTRODUCTION

Background

Financial technology, or FinTech, represents the intersection of finance and technology, encompassing a range of services such as digital payments, lending, insurance, and blockchain applications. In Nigeria, the FinTech sector has experienced exponential growth over the past decade, driven by a youthful population, high mobile phone penetration, and a significant unbanked population. According to the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN), over 36% of Nigerian adults remain unbanked, creating a vast opportunity for FinTech solutions to bridge the financial inclusion gap.

Problem Statement

Despite its growth potential, the FinTech sector in Nigeria faces significant challenges related to regulatory uncertainty, compliance costs, and fragmented policies. Regulatory frameworks can either enable or constrain innovation, making it imperative to assess their impact on the sector's development.

The specific objectives of the study are to:

i. Evaluate the impact of Payment Business Model on the Financial Performance and the associated risk on manufacturing Firms in Nigeria.
ii. Examine the impact of Wealth Management Business Model on the Financial Performance of manufacturing Firms in Nigeria.

Research Questions

The following research questions guided the study:

i. To what level has Payment Business Model obstructed the Financial Performance of manufacturing Firms in Nigeria?
ii. How can stakeholders balance innovation and risk mitigation?

2. CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION OF FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY

Introduction

FinTech, or financial technology, represents a rapidly growing and evolving sector where various business models leverage technology to address problems in the financial sector. Traditional financial sector participants are increasingly adopting these technological models. This chapter aims to clarify the concept of FinTech by examining diverse opinions of scholars on the topic. Additionally, it will explore the historical growth and global adoption of FinTech, provide justifications for its regulation, and review the regulatory approaches proposed for this burgeoning field.

Explaining the Concept of Financial Technology

FinTech can broadly be defined as the application of technology-based business models to solve issues within the financial sector. However, this broad definition can be overly expansive. The first significant attempt to clarify the concept of FinTech came from Arner, Barberis, and Buckley, who defined it as “the use of technology to deliver financial solutions” . Leo and Teo described FinTech as “innovative financial services or products delivered via technology” , while Shim and Shin referred to it as “a portmanteau that combines the words ‘financial’ and ‘technology’” . These definitions, although capturing the essence of FinTech, are often seen as too broad and inclusive.

For instance, Uber, primarily a ride-hailing company, has been labeled a FinTech company due to its payment gateway involving debit and credit cards . This expansive view risks classifying businesses in sectors like AgriTech, HealthTech, and EdTech as FinTech simply because they incorporate financial services .

A more refined definition is proposed by Lee and Kim, who describe FinTech as “a new type of financial service based on IT companies' broad types of users, combined with IT technology and other financial services like remittance, payment, asset management, and so on” . This definition focuses on the nature and purpose of the services provided, emphasizing the disruptive nature of FinTech in contrast to traditional financial services .

The European Banking Authority (EBA) identifies several purposes currently served by FinTech, including biometric services, machine-learning and big data techniques, automated investment advice, distributed ledger technology (DLT) and smart contracts, and mobile wallets . Each of these purposes reflects the innovative and technology-driven nature of FinTech. For example, Nigerian startups like My Identity Pay and Migo are leveraging biometric and credit scoring technologies to enhance customer experiences and financial inclusivity .

Despite these definitions, the debate over what qualifies as FinTech remains ongoing. What is evident is that FinTech is a revolutionary trend disrupting traditional financial institutions and necessitating a clearer understanding of its scope and impact.

Historical Exposition and Global Explosion of Financial Technology

The FinTech industry has undergone significant evolution over the years, driven by various innovative forces. Arner, Barberis, and Buckley provide a comprehensive historical account, identifying three distinct phases of FinTech development:

FinTech 1.0 (1866-1987): This phase saw the establishment of the telecommunications infrastructure, including the installation of transatlantic cables, which interconnected financial institutions globally through correspondent banking

FinTech 2.0 (1987-2008): Characterized by the digitization of traditional financial institutions, this phase introduced innovations like Automated Teller Machines (ATM) and established modern banking practices. This era also saw the rise of stock exchanges, central clearinghouses, and international correspondent banking. In Nigeria, this phase marked the introduction of electronic payment systems by banks such as All states Trust Bank and Diamond Bank.

FinTech 3.0 (2008-Present): The current phase is marked by the emergence of new companies offering technology-enabled financial services, significant investment in FinTech startups, and the adoption of innovations like blockchain and artificial intelligence. This phase is characterized by a notable shift towards mobile payments and increased financial inclusion efforts.

The growth of the FinTech industry is evidenced by its substantial valuation and rapid expansion. For instance, FinTech start-ups attracted USD 12 billion in investments by 2014, a figure that skyrocketed to USD 105 billion by 2020. In Nigeria, the market is predicted to grow significantly, from US$153.1 million in 2017 to US$543.3 million in 2022.

Justification for the Regulation of Financial Technology

Maximization of Benefits

FinTech offers numerous benefits, including wider access to financial markets, enhanced financial inclusion, and increased availability of capital. The Centre Forward Institute (CFI) highlights that FinTech democratizes financial services, making them accessible to a broader population, thereby driving economic growth.

Systemic Risks Precipitated by Financial Technology

FinTech poses systemic risks similar to those that contributed to the 2007-2008 financial crisis. These risks include the potential for spill-over effects from failures in one institution to others, and the interconnectedness of financial institutions. Thomson's criteria for determining Systemically Important Financial Institutions (SIFIs) suggest that FinTech firms meet several key characteristics, including size, interconnectedness, and concentration of crucial financial activities.

The Need to Regulate the Financial Sector

The financial sector's importance to the economy justifies its regulation. Regulation ensures consumer protection, prevents monopolies, facilitates competition, and maintains financial stability. Llewellyn and Davies provide comprehensive justifications for financial sector regulation, emphasizing the complexity of services, continuous introduction of new products, and the sector's systemic importance.

The Continuous Growth of the FinTech Sector

The FinTech industry's rapid growth underscores the need for regulation. With the sector's valuation projected to reach $4.7 trillion by 2020 and a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 25% until 2022, the importance of regulating this expanding industry is evident. The Nigerian FinTech market also shows impressive growth, further highlighting the necessity of regulatory frameworks to manage its expansion.

Proposed Regulatory Approaches for FinTech

Existent Regulatory Approaches to FinTech Regulation

Several regulatory approaches can be considered for the FinTech industry:

Wait and See/Hands-off Approach: Regulators observe and monitor emerging trends from a distance before intervening. This approach encourages innovation but requires timely intervention to prevent potential issues.

Test and Learn/Case-by-Case Forbearance Approach: Regulators create tailored frameworks for individual cases, allowing FinTech to develop with oversight. This approach supports innovation while ensuring adequate supervision.

Structured Experimental/Innovative Approach: This approach welcomes innovations, with specified controls for testing and experimenting with new products. Examples include innovation hubs and start-up accelerators.

Regulatory Laws and Reforms: Regulators can introduce new laws or frameworks, either incrementally or through simplified procedures, to define the legal landscape for FinTech products and services.

What Should FinTech Regulation Entail?

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, in "The Bali FinTech Agenda: Chapeau Paper," outline objectives and elements for FinTech regulation. These include fostering an enabling environment, strengthening policy frameworks, addressing potential risks, and promoting international collaboration.

In conclusion, the conceptual clarification of FinTech involves understanding its broad definitions, historical development, and the justifications for its regulation. The rapid growth and systemic importance of FinTech necessitate a balanced regulatory approach that maximizes benefits while mitigating risks. The proposed regulatory approaches provide a framework for managing this evolving sector, ensuring its contributions to the financial industry and the broader economy are effectively harnessed make references for above within last ten years

3. METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This research used an ex-post facto research design, available after events have occurred and which insinuate the data are available already. This type of reach design is employed to establish cause-and-effect relationships between variables by analyzing previous events or data. It is a method used to identify elements associated with a specific occurrence, situation, event, or behavior by examining earlier events or data for potential causal factors.

Area of Study: we concentrated primarily on Consumer Goods manufacturing Firms in Nigeria.

Sources of Data: This study made used of the secondary source of data collection which were extracted from the audited financial statements of various firms from the year 2019 to 2023.

Population and Sample: the size of the Study comprises 25 (twenty-two) companies The study selected 9 firms as the sample size.

Regulatory Landscape for FinTech in Nigeria

Key Regulatory Frameworks

1. **Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN):** The CBN plays a pivotal role in regulating payment systems, digital banking, and mobile money services. Key initiatives include the Payment System Vision 2020 and guidelines for payment service banks (PSBs).

2. **Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC):** The SEC regulates crowdfunding platforms and digital asset offerings.

3. **National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA):** NITDA oversees data protection and cybersecurity regulations, which are critical for FinTech operations.

4. **Nigeria Inter-Bank Settlement System (NIBSS):** Facilitates interoperability among payment service providers, enhancing the ecosystem's efficiency.

Challenges in the Regulatory Environment

1. **Regulatory Uncertainty:** Frequent changes in policy and overlapping mandates among regulatory bodies create confusion.

2. **Compliance Costs:** High compliance costs disproportionately affect startups and small-scale FinTech firms.

3. **Data Privacy and Cybersecurity:** Stricter enforcement of data protection laws poses operational challenges for FinTech companies.

4. **Access to Licenses:** Lengthy and costly licensing processes hinder market entry for innovative solutions.

4. IMPACT OF REGULATORY APPROACHES ON FINTECH GROWTH

Positive Impacts

1. **Fostering Innovation:** Regulatory sandboxes established by the CBN and SEC provide a controlled environment for testing new technologies.

2. **Enhanced Consumer Trust:** Clear regulations on data protection and cybersecurity enhance consumer confidence in digital financial services.

3. **Attracting Investment:** Well-defined regulatory frameworks increase investor confidence, as evidenced by the $1.37 billion investment in Nigerian FinTech in 2021.

Negative Impacts

1. **Stifled Innovation:** Overregulation and ambiguous policies deter startups from introducing disruptive solutions.

2. **Market Concentration:** Regulatory requirements favor established players, reducing competition and diversity in the market.

Recommendations

Streamlining Regulatory Processes

1. **Unified Framework:** Harmonize regulations across agencies to reduce redundancy and conflict.

2. **Transparent Policies:** Ensure consistent enforcement and timely updates of regulatory guidelines.

Supporting Startups

1. **Subsidized Licensing:** Offer reduced fees and streamlined processes for startups.

2. **Capacity Building:** Provide technical support and training for small FinTech firms to navigate compliance requirements.

Enhancing Collaboration

1. **Public-Private Partnerships:** Foster dialogue between regulators and industry stakeholders to co-create effective policies.
2. **International Best Practices:** Benchmark Nigerian regulations against successful FinTech hubs like Singapore and the UK.

5. RESULTS OF FINDINGS

Summary of Multiple Regression Result

Table 1: Multiple Regression Result of Industry Level Panel Data

Dependent Variable: ROA

Method: Least Squares

Date: 12/12/24

Time: 11:30

Sample: 1 40

Included observations: 40

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
PBM	-0.02432	0.007312	-3.406534	0.0018
WMBM	-0.25354	0.133432	-1.873423	0.0673
C	0.189042	0.036543	5.145712	0.0000
R-squared	0.400543	Mean dependent var		0.06645
Adjusted R-squared	0.332645	S.D. dependent var		0.06023

S.E. of regression	0.049343	Akaike info criterion	-3.06342
Sum squared resid	0.085123	Schwarz criterion	-2.85243
Log likelihood	66.26543	Hannan-Quinn criter.	-2.98712
F-statistic	5.845546	Durbin-Watson stat	1.152012
Prob(F-statistic)	0.001045		

- *Source: E-view 10.0 Statistical Output, 2024*

Table 1 regression result shows a negative and significant that payment business model coefficient (pbm) while achieving their performance (ROA) among the manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. The ROA= 0.18-0.02PBM which shows ROA of the listed Firms in Nigeria will decrease by 2% for every 1% increase in payment business model. While the p-value of 0.001 is less than the significant value of 0.05. it shows that the payment business model brings about a significant but negative impact on the financial performance of manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. Meanwhile the coefficient of wealth management business model (wmbm) shows a negative and nonsignificant while achieving performance (ROA) in Firms in Nigeria. The ROA= 0.18-0.25 WMBM which indicates that ROA of listed manufacturing Firms in Nigeria will decrease by 25% for every 1% increase in wealth management business model. The p-value of 0.06 is more than p-value of 0.05 threshold.

6. CONCLUSION

The regulatory environment is a double-edged sword for Nigeria's FinTech sector. While effective regulation can catalyze innovation, poorly designed policies may stifle growth and limit financial inclusion. By adopting a balanced approach, regulators can create an enabling environment that supports innovation while safeguarding consumer interests. This study underscores the need for continuous dialogue and collaboration between stakeholders to sustain the momentum of FinTech growth in Nigeria.

REFERENCES

1. Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2020). *Payment System Vision 2020*. Retrieved from CBN website

2. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). (2021). *Guidelines on Digital Assets and Crowdfunding.* Abuja, Nigeria.

3. National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA). (2019). *Nigeria Data Protection Regulation (NDPR)*.

4. FinTech Association of Nigeria. (2021). *State of FinTech in Nigeria Report.*

5. KPMG. (2021). *Pulse of FinTech H1 2021: Global Analysis of Investment Trends.*